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Severe mental illness & diabetes

- The life expectancy of people with SMI is around 20 years lower than the general population.

- Diabetes is 2-3 times more prevalent in people with SMI and this health inequality is likely to increase; health outcomes for this patient group (an estimated 44,000 people in England) are poorer.

- Little is known about how various risk factors (medical, medication, lifestyle, socio-economic circumstances, co-morbidities, ambiguity in healthcare services) interact to create this health inequality.
DIAMONDS: DIAbetes and Mental Illness: Improving Outcomes and Services

Chief Investigator: Dr Najma Siddiqi

Who gets diabetes? Why? What are the consequences for mental and physical health?

What is it like living with diabetes alongside having a mental illness?

What works? For whom? Tailored self-management education Organising healthcare better
Developing the evidence

- Literature reviews
- Patient and public involvement
- Large health databases
- Economic evaluations
- Surveys
- Qualitative interview studies
- Trials

[Image of the diagram showing various methods for developing evidence]
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Research questions

What are the socio-demographic and illness-related risk factors associated with a) developing diabetes in people with SMI b) variation in diabetes and mental health outcomes in people with SMI and diabetes?

How do physical and mental health outcomes differ between people with SMI and diabetes in comparison with a) people with SMI and without diabetes b) people with diabetes but no SMI?

What factors influence access to and receipt of diabetes care for people with SMI and how are diabetes healthcare interventions experienced by people with SMI?

How and at what cost is diabetes monitored and managed in people with SMI compared to those without SMI?

What healthcare interventions are associated with better diabetes outcomes for people with SMI and diabetes?
Theoretical Framework & Methods

• Theoretical framework: Social conditions as a fundamental cause of health inequality

• Focuses on effect of socio-economic disadvantage on health i.e. how reduced access to resources impacts on agency to navigate health care systems, take advantage of health promotion opportunities and healthcare interventions

• How does healthcare appear to generate further inequalities in this vulnerable population? How can healthcare delivery and organisation respond better to the health needs of people with SMI and diabetes?

• Method: Concurrent triangulation mixed methods design
EMERALD study design

**Work Package 1:**
Evidence synthesis, integration, consultation & dissemination

**Work Package 2:**
Quantitative analysis of patient record data

**Work Package 3:**
Qualitative interviews with patients, relatives & healthcare staff
Work package 1

• Study co-ordination, integration & dissemination:
  • Regular consultation with experts: PPI, Steering Group, Project Team, DIAMONDS team
  • Evidence Synthesis
  • Integration of WP2 and WP3
  • Translating findings into policy/practice recommendations
  • Ensure delivery of dissemination strategy
Work package 2: Quantitative Analysis of CPRD data
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Key: A = all patients with SMI; B = all patients with SMI and diabetes; C = patients with diabetes and no history of SMI (controls matched to group B)
Work Package 3: Qualitative interviews

- Patients with SMI and diabetes (n=30-50)
- Relatives/friends (n=15-20)
- Healthcare staff (n=15-20)
Study timeline

Sep 2017: Preparation

2018: Data collection, analysis and integration

2019: Co-design workshops to translate findings into recommendations

September 2019: Dissemination
DIAMONDS VOICE PPI Panel

Goals and Purpose

1. To ensure meaningful service user and carer involvement into all aspects of Diamonds research programme, from design to dissemination.
2. To ensure the Diamonds research is relevant and addresses issues that matter to Service users and Carers.
3. To offer training opportunities for public service users and carers who have expressed an interest in active involvement in the Diamonds research programme.
4. To develop partnerships with key stakeholders, academic and clinical networks and organisations.
5. To ensure our research has impact outside academia and is transferred into practice.
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